

Structure of the third moment of the generalized Rosenblatt distribution

Shuyang Bai Murad Taqqu

July 15, 2014

Abstract

The Rosenblatt distribution appears as limit in non-central limit theorems. The generalized Rosenblatt distribution is obtained by allowing different power exponents in the kernel that defines the usual Rosenblatt distribution. We derive an explicit formula for its third moment, correcting the one in Maejima and Tudor (2012) and Tudor (2013). Evaluating this formula numerically, we are able to confirm that the class of generalized Hermite processes is strictly richer than the class of Hermite processes.

1 Introduction

The Rosenblatt process is a non-Gaussian self-similar process with stationary increments. It can be represented by a double Wiener-Itô integral as follows:

$$Z_\gamma(t) = A \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}' \int_0^t (s - x_1)_+^\gamma (s - x_2)_+^\gamma ds B(dx_1) B(dx_2), \quad (1)$$

where $A \neq 0$ is a constant, the prime $'$ indicates the *exclusion* of the diagonals $x_1 = x_2$ in the integral, $\gamma \in (-3/4, -1/2)$, and $B(\cdot)$ is a Brownian random measure. The process is self-similar with Hurst index $H = 2\gamma + 2 \in (1/2, 1)$, that is, for any constant $a > 0$, $\{Z(at)\}$ and $\{a^H Z(t)\}$ have the same finite-dimensional distributions.

The marginal distribution of $Z_\gamma(t)$, which we call the *Rosenblatt distribution*, was first characterized by Rosenblatt (1961), and the Rosenblatt process was then defined in Taqqu (1975). The Rosenblatt process belongs to a more general class of processes called *Hermite processes*. A k -th order Hermite process is defined through a k -tuple Wiener Itô integral with integrand $\int_0^t \prod_{j=1}^k (s - x_j)_+^\gamma ds$ in (1), where $-1/2 - 1/(2k) < \gamma < -1/2$. The Rosenblatt process is thus a Hermite process with $k = 2$. Hermite processes can appear as limits in so-called *non-central limit theorems* involving a nonlinear function of a long-range dependent Gaussian process (Dobrushin and Major (1979), Taqqu (1979)), or a nonlinear function of a long-range dependent linear process (Surgailis (1982), Ho and Hsing (1997)).

Key words Long memory; Self-similar processes; ; Rosenblatt processes; Generalized Rosenblatt processes

2010 AMS Classification: 60G18, 60F05

Maejima and Tudor (2012) considered the following extension of the Rosenblatt process:

$$Z_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(t) = \frac{A}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}^t \int_0^t [(s - x_1)_+^{\gamma_1} (s - x_2)_+^{\gamma_2} + (s - x_1)_+^{\gamma_2} (s - x_2)_+^{\gamma_1}] ds B(dx_1) B(dx_2), \quad (2)$$

where

$$\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in (-1, -1/2) \text{ and } \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 > -3/2.$$

We shall call $Z_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(t)$ a *generalized Rosenblatt process*. They computed the second and the third moment of the $Z_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(1)$, but unfortunately their formula for the third moment is incorrect. The third moment will play a crucial role in the identification of the process.

The generalized Rosenblatt process $Z_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(t)$ belongs to a broad class of self-similar process with stationary increments defined on a Wiener chaos called *generalized Hermite process*, which was first introduced by Mori and Oodaira (1986). See also Bai and Taqqu (2014b) for details.

A generalized Hermite process can be represented by a multiple Wiener-Itô integral as

$$Z_g(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}^t \int_0^t g(s - x_1, \dots, s - x_k) 1_{\{s_1 > x_1, \dots, s_k > x_k\}} ds B(dx_1) \dots B(dx_k), \quad (3)$$

where the nonzero function g is called a *generalized Hermite kernel* (GHK) and is defined by the following two properties:

1. $g(\lambda x_1, \dots, \lambda x_k) = \lambda^\alpha g(x_1, \dots, x_k)$, for some $\alpha \in (-k/2 - 1/2, -k/2)$;
2. $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^k} |g(1 + x_1, \dots, 1 + x_k) g(x_1, \dots, x_k)| d\mathbf{x} < \infty$.

The first condition is one of homogeneity to ensure that the resulting process $Z_g(t)$ is self-similar. The second condition ensures that the integrand in (3) is square integrable. By heuristically interchanging the order of the two integrations $\int_0^t \cdot ds$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^k} \cdot B(dx_1) \dots B(dx_k)$ in (3), the process can be viewed as an integrated process of a stationary nonlinear moving average, which explains the stationary increments of $Z_g(t)$.

Note that for Z_{γ_1, γ_2} in (2),

$$g(x_1, x_2) = \frac{A}{2} [x_1^{\gamma_1} x_2^{\gamma_2} + x_1^{\gamma_2} x_2^{\gamma_1}],$$

and $\alpha = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$. It follows Bai and Taqqu (2014b) that $Z_g(t)$ is self-similar with Hurst index

$$H = \alpha + k/2 + 1 \in (1/2, 1).$$

The process Z_g and other related processes appear as limits in various types of non-central limit theorems involving Volterra-type nonlinear process. See Bai and Taqqu (2014c) and Bai and Taqqu (2014a) for details. The following is a natural question:

Is the class of generalized Hermite processes strictly richer than the class of Hermite processes for a given k and H ?¹

¹Processes differing by a multiplicative constant are considered to be the same process.

Since all generalized Hermite processes are H -self-similar with stationary increments, they all have identical covariances up to a multiplicative factor. Hence the covariance cannot be of any help in answering the preceding question.

In this paper, we answer the preceding question positively by computing explicitly the second and the third moment of the marginal law of the generalized Rosenblatt process $Z_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(t)$ in (2) at $t = 1$, namely, the law of $Z_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(1)$ which we call the *generalized Rosenblatt distribution*. Since the second and the third moments can be expressed in terms of beta functions, one can evaluate the moments numerically in an accurate way, and use them to show that the preceding question has a positive answer.

Remark 1.1. The second moment formula (4) has been obtained in Lemma 2.2 of Maejima and Tudor (2012)².

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state our formulas for the second and the third moments of $Z_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(1)$. Section 3 contains some preliminary lemmas. Section 4 contains the proof of the results of Section 2. In Section 5, we present the numerical evaluation of the third moment of a standardized $Z_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(1)$ and answer positively the question stated above.

2 Main results

The random variable $Z_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(1)$ defined in (2) has mean $\mu_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 0$ since it is expressed as a Wiener-Itô integral. The following theorem provides an explicit expression of the second and the third moment of $Z_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(1)$.

Theorem 2.1. *The second moment of $Z_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(1)$ is*

$$\mu_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \frac{A^2}{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2)(2(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + 3)} \times [B(\gamma_1 + 1, -\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 1)B(\gamma_2 + 1, -\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 1) + B(\gamma_1 + 1, -2\gamma_1 - 1)B(\gamma_2 + 1, -2\gamma_2 - 1)], \quad (4)$$

where $B(x, y)$ denotes the beta function (6). The third moment of $Z_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(1)$ is

$$\mu_3(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \frac{2A^3}{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2)(3(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + 5)} \times \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \{1, 2\}^3} B(\gamma_{\sigma_1} + 1, -\gamma_{\sigma_1} - \gamma_{\sigma'_3} - 1)B(\gamma_{\sigma'_1} + 1, -\gamma_{\sigma'_1} - \gamma_{\sigma_2} - 1)B(\gamma_{\sigma'_2} + 1, -\gamma_{\sigma'_2} - \gamma_{\sigma_3} - 1) \times B(\gamma_{\sigma'_1} + \gamma_{\sigma_2} + 2, \gamma_{\sigma'_2} + \gamma_{\sigma_3} + 2) \right], \quad (5)$$

where $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ with $\sigma_i = 1$ or 2 , and σ' is the complement of σ , namely, $\sigma'_i = 3 - \sigma_i$.

²Maejima and Tudor (2012) also attempted to compute the third moment, but unfortunately the function $f_{H_1, H_2}(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ in the proof of their Proposition 3.1 was not computed correctly. The exponents in the first and the third factor of $f_{H_1, H_2}(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ should be $H_1 - 1$ and $H_2 - 1$ respectively according to their Lemma 2.1. This error was reproduced in the proof of Proposition 3.10 of Tudor (2013).

To compare the values of the third moment as γ_1 and γ_2 vary, we shall set the variance $\mu_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 1$. By Theorem 2.1, this determines the constant A as:

$$A(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \left(\frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2)(2(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + 3)}{B(\gamma_1 + 1, -\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 1)B(\gamma_2 + 1, -\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 1) + B(\gamma_1 + 1, -2\gamma_1 - 1)B(\gamma_2 + 1, -2\gamma_2 - 1)} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence

Corollary 2.2. *The third moment of the standardized $Z_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(1)$ is*

$$M_3(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = F_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)F_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)F_3(\gamma_1, \gamma_2),$$

where

$$F_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 2(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2)^{1/2}(2(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + 3)^{3/2}(3(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + 5)^{-1},$$

$$F_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \sum_{\sigma \in \{1, 2\}^3} B(\gamma_{\sigma_1} + 1, -\gamma_{\sigma_1} - \gamma_{\sigma'_3} - 1)B(\gamma_{\sigma'_1} + 1, -\gamma_{\sigma'_1} - \gamma_{\sigma_2} - 1)B(\gamma_{\sigma'_2} + 1, -\gamma_{\sigma'_2} - \gamma_{\sigma_3} - 1) \times \\ B(\gamma_{\sigma'_1} + \gamma_{\sigma_2} + 2, \gamma_{\sigma'_2} + \gamma_{\sigma_3} + 2),$$

and

$$F_3(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = [B(\gamma_1 + 1, -\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 1)B(\gamma_2 + 1, -\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 1) + B(\gamma_1 + 1, -2\gamma_1 - 1)B(\gamma_2 + 1, -2\gamma_2 - 1)]^{-3/2}.$$

3 Preliminary lemmas

We shall use the following cumulant formula for a double Wiener-Itô integral (see, e.g., (8.4.3) of Nourdin and Peccati (2012)):

Lemma 3.1. *If f is a symmetric function in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then the m -th cumulant of the double Wiener-Itô integral $X = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y_1, y_2)B(dy_1)B(dy_2)$ is given by the following circular integral:*

$$\kappa_m(X) = 2^{m-1}(m-1)! \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(y_1, y_2)f(y_2, y_3) \dots f(y_{m-1}, y_m)f(y_m, y_1)dy_1 \dots dy_m.$$

Note, however, that for a random variable with zero mean, which is the case for $Z_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(1)$, the second and the third cumulants coincide with the second and the third moments respectively.

The following formulas involving the beta function $B(x, y)$ will be used many times:

$$B(x, y) := \int_0^1 u^{x-1}(1-u)^{y-1}du = \int_0^\infty w^{x-1}(1+w)^{-x-y}dw = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)} \quad (6)$$

for all $x, y > 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *For $a, b \in (-1, -1/2)$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (s_1 - u)_+^a (s_2 - u)_+^b du = (s_2 - s_1)_+^{a+b+1} B(a+1, -a-b-1) + (s_1 - s_2)_+^{a+b+1} B(b+1, -a-b-1).$$

Proof. Suppose without loss of generality $s_1 < s_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{s_1} (s_1 - u)^a (s_2 - u)^b du &= (s_2 - s_1)^{a+b+1} \int_{-\infty}^{s_1} \left(\frac{s_1 - u}{s_2 - s_1} \right)^a \left(\frac{s_2 - u}{s_2 - s_1} \right)^b d \left(\frac{u}{s_2 - s_1} \right) \\ &= (s_2 - s_1)^{a+b+1} \int_0^\infty w^a (1 + w)^b dw,\end{aligned}$$

by the change of variable $w = (s_1 - u)/(s_2 - s_1)$. Note that $a, b < -1/2$ guarantees that $a + b + 1 < 0$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. For $a, b > -1$ and $x < y$,

$$\int_x^y (u - x)^a (y - u)^b du = (y - x)^{a+b+1} B(a + 1, b + 1).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_x^y (u - x)^a (y - u)^b du &= (y - x)^{a+b+1} \int_x^y \left(\frac{u - x}{y - x} \right)^a \left(\frac{y - u}{y - x} \right)^b d \left(\frac{u}{y - x} \right) \\ &= (y - x)^{a+b+1} \int_0^1 w^a (1 - w)^b dw.\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma 3.4. For $\beta_j > -1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, $m \geq 2$, such that $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m + m > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{0 < s_1 < \dots < s_m < 1} (s_m - s_1)^{\beta_1} (s_2 - s_1)^{\beta_2} (s_3 - s_2)^{\beta_3} \dots (s_m - s_{m-1})^{\beta_m} ds_1 \dots s_m \\ &= (m + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m)^{-1} (m - 1 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m)^{-1} \frac{\Gamma(\beta_2 + 1) \Gamma(\beta_3 + 1) \dots \Gamma(\beta_m + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta_2 + \beta_3 + \dots + \beta_m + m - 1)}.\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

Proof. For convenience set $C_m = (m + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m)^{-1}$, and $C'_{m-1} = (m - 1 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m)^{-1}$. The starting expression (7) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{0 < s_1 < \dots < s_m < 1} s_m^{\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m} \left(1 - \frac{s_1}{s_m} \right)^{\beta_1} \left(\frac{s_2}{s_m} - \frac{s_1}{s_m} \right)^{\beta_2} \dots \left(\frac{s_{m-1}}{s_m} - \frac{s_{m-2}}{s_m} \right)^{\beta_{m-1}} \left(1 - \frac{s_{m-1}}{s_m} \right)^{\beta_m} ds_1 \dots s_m \\ &= \int_0^1 s^{\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m + m - 1} ds \int_{0 < u_1 < \dots < u_{m-1} < 1} (1 - u_1)^{\beta_1} \dots (u_{m-1} - u_{m-2})^{\beta_{m-1}} (1 - u_{m-1})^{\beta_m} du_{m-1} \dots du_1 \\ &= C_m \int_{0 < u_1 < \dots < u_{m-1} < 1} (1 - u_1)^{\beta_1} (u_2 - u_1)^{\beta_2} \dots (u_{m-1} - u_{m-2})^{\beta_{m-1}} (1 - u_{m-1})^{\beta_m} du_{m-1} \dots du_1.\end{aligned}$$

Integrating over u_{m-1} , we get by Lemma 3.3 that (7) equals

$$C_m B(\beta_{m-1} + 1, \beta_m + 1) \int_{0 < u_1 < \dots < u_{m-2} < 1} (1 - u_1)^{\beta_1} \dots (u_{m-2} - u_{m-3})^{\beta_{m-2}} (1 - u_{m-2})^{\beta_{m-1} + \beta_m + 1} du_{m-2} \dots du_1.$$

Now by repeatedly applying Lemma 3.3, we can write (7) as:

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_m B(\beta_{m-1} + 1, \beta_m + 1) B(\beta_{m-2} + 1, \beta_{m-1} + \beta_m + 2) \dots B(\beta_2 + 1, \beta_3 + \dots + \beta_m + m - 2) \times \\
& \int_0^1 (1 - u_1)^{\beta_1} (1 - u_1)^{\beta_2 + \dots + \beta_m + m - 2} du_1 \\
& = C_m C'_{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(\beta_{m-1} + 1) \Gamma(\beta_m + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta_{m-1} + \beta_m + 2)} \frac{\Gamma(\beta_{m-2} + 1) \Gamma(\beta_{m-1} + \beta_m + 2)}{\Gamma(\beta_{m-2} + \beta_{m-1} + \beta_m + 2)} \dots \frac{\Gamma(\beta_2 + 1) \Gamma(\beta_3 + \dots + \beta_m + m - 2)}{\Gamma(\beta_2 + \dots + \beta_m + m - 1)} \\
& = (m + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m)^{-1} (m - 1 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m)^{-1} \frac{\Gamma(\beta_2 + 1) \Gamma(\beta_3 + 1) \dots \Gamma(\beta_m + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta_2 + \beta_3 + \dots + \beta_m + m - 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Proof. Set $g(x, y) = \frac{A}{2}(x_+^{\gamma_1} y_+^{\gamma_2} + x_+^{\gamma_2} y_+^{\gamma_1})$, and observe that g is symmetric. In view of Lemma 3.1, we need to compute the following integral for $m = 2$ and $m = 3$:

$$c_m = \int_{[0,1]^m} d\mathbf{s} I(s_1, \dots, s_m), \quad (8)$$

where

$$I(s_1, \dots, s_m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} d\mathbf{x} g(s_1 - x_1, s_1 - x_2) g(s_2 - x_2, s_2 - x_3) \dots g(s_m - x_m, s_m - x_1). \quad (9)$$

The case $m = 2$ was done by Maejima and Tudor (2012). It is instructive, however, to continue using the symbol m .

We claim that for $m = 2, 3$, $I(s_1, \dots, s_m)$ does not change if one permutes $s_1, \dots, s_m$. For $m = 2$, this is obvious since the integrand is $g(s_1 - x_1, s_1 - x_2) g(s_2 - x_2, s_2 - x_1) = g(s_2 - x_1, s_2 - x_2) g(s_1 - x_1, s_1 - x_2)$ using the symmetry of g . For $m = 3$, suppose one switches s_2 with s_3 , then we have by the symmetry of g that

$$\begin{aligned}
& g(s_1 - x_1, s_1 - x_2) g(s_3 - x_2, s_3 - x_3) g(s_2 - x_3, s_2 - x_1) \\
& = g(s_1 - x_2, s_1 - x_1) g(s_2 - x_1, s_2 - x_3) g(s_3 - x_3, s_3 - x_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Now if one changes the sub-indices (which does not affect the integral) of x_i 's in the following way: $x_2 \rightarrow x_1, x_1 \rightarrow x_2, x_3 \rightarrow x_3$, one gets exactly the original integrand expression:

$$g(s_1 - x_1, s_1 - x_2) g(s_2 - x_2, s_2 - x_3) g(s_3 - x_3, s_3 - x_1).$$

Similarly the integral $I(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ does not change if one switches s_1 with s_3 or switches s_2 with s_3 .

Therefore, $I(s_1, \dots, s_m)$ in (9) is a symmetric function for $m = 2, 3$.³ Hence it suffices to focus the integration on

$$E_m := \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, 1]^m, s_1 < \dots < s_m\}.$$

³One can check that the symmetry does not hold for $m \geq 4$, and hence the arguments in this proof only works for $m = 2, 3$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_m &= \int_{[0,1]^m} d\mathbf{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} d\mathbf{x} g(s_1 - x_1, s_1 - x_2) \dots g(s_m - x_m, s_m - x_1) \\ &= m! \int_{E_m} ds d\mathbf{x} g(s_1 - x_1, s_1 - x_2) \dots g(s_m - x_m, s_m - x_1). \end{aligned}$$

To evaluate the integral, we view the indices below modulo m , e.g., $x_{m+1} = x_1$ and $s_0 = s_m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_m &= m! A^m 2^{-m} \int_{E_m} ds d\mathbf{x} \prod_{i=1}^m [(s_i - x_i)_+^{\gamma_1} (s_i - x_{i+1})_+^{\gamma_2} + (s_i - x_i)_+^{\gamma_2} (s_i - x_{i+1})_+^{\gamma_1}] \\ &= m! A^m 2^{-m} \sum_{\sigma \in \{1,2\}^m} \int_{E_m} ds d\mathbf{x} \prod_{i=1}^m (s_i - x_i)_+^{\gamma_{\sigma_i}} (s_i - x_{i+1})_+^{\gamma_{\sigma'_i}}, \end{aligned}$$

where if $\sigma_i = 1$ then $\sigma'_i = 2$ and vice versa.

Now since $(s_1 - x_1)_+^{\gamma_{\sigma_1}} (s_0 - x_1)_+^{\gamma_{\sigma'_m}} = (s_1 - x_1)_+^{\gamma_{\sigma_1}} (s_m - x_1)_+^{\gamma_{\sigma'_m}}$, we can reorder the terms in the product and write using Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} c_m &= m! A^m 2^{-m} \sum_{\sigma \in \{1,2\}^m} \int_{E_m} ds d\mathbf{x} \prod_{i=1}^m (s_i - x_i)_+^{\gamma_{\sigma_i}} (s_{i-1} - x_i)_+^{\gamma_{\sigma'_{i-1}}} \\ &= m! A^m 2^{-m} \sum_{\sigma \in \{1,2\}^m} \int_{0 < s_1 < \dots < s_m < 1} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} (s_1 - x_1)_+^{\gamma_{\sigma_1}} (s_m - x_1)_+^{\gamma_{\sigma'_m}} dx_1 \prod_{i=2}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}} (s_{i-1} - x_i)_+^{\gamma_{\sigma'_{i-1}}} (s_i - x_i)_+^{\gamma_{\sigma_i}} dx_i \\ &= m! A^m 2^{-m} \sum_{\sigma \in \{1,2\}^m} \left[B(\gamma_{\sigma_1} + 1, -\gamma_{\sigma'_m} - \gamma_{\sigma_1} - 1) \prod_{i=2}^m B(\gamma_{\sigma'_{i-1}} + 1, -\gamma_{\sigma'_{i-1}} - \gamma_{\sigma_i} - 1) \right] J_{\sigma}, \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_{\sigma} = \int_{0 < s_1 < \dots < s_m < 1} (s_m - s_1)^{\gamma_{\sigma'_m} + \gamma_{\sigma_1} + 1} \prod_{i=2}^m (s_i - s_{i-1})^{\gamma_{\sigma'_{i-1}} + \gamma_{\sigma_i} + 1} ds.$$

Applying Lemma 3.4 to J_{σ} , by setting $\beta_1 = \gamma_{\sigma'_m} + \gamma_{\sigma_1} + 1$, $\beta_i = \gamma_{\sigma'_{i-1}} + \gamma_{\sigma_i} + 1$ for $i = 2, \dots, m$, one gets

$$J_{\sigma} = (m + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m)^{-1} (m - 1 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m)^{-1} \frac{\Gamma(\beta_2 + 1) \Gamma(\beta_3 + 1) \dots \Gamma(\beta_m + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta_2 + \beta_3 + \dots + \beta_m + m - 1)}.$$

Since $\gamma_{\sigma_j} + \gamma_{\sigma'_j} = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i = \gamma_{\sigma'_m} + \gamma_{\sigma_1} + \dots + \gamma_{\sigma'_1} + \gamma_{\sigma_m} + m = m(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=2}^m \beta_i = \gamma_{\sigma'_1} + (\gamma_{\sigma_2} + \gamma_{\sigma'_2}) + \dots + (\gamma_{\sigma_{m-1}} + \gamma_{\sigma'_{m-1}}) + \gamma_{\sigma_m} + (m - 1),$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i + m = m(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2) > 1$ because $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 > -3/2$ and $m \geq 2$, and hence Lemma 3.4 applies. This yields

$$J_\sigma = m^{-1}[\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2]^{-1}[m(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + 2m - 1]^{-1} \frac{\prod_{i=2}^m \Gamma(\gamma_{\sigma'_{i-1}} + \gamma_{\sigma_i} + 2)}{\Gamma(\gamma_{\sigma'_1} + \gamma_{\sigma_m} + (m-2)(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + 2(m-1))}.$$

Plugging this J_σ in the expression of c_m in (10) and using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\mu_m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 2^{m-1}(m-1)!c_m. \quad (11)$$

Suppose first $m = 2$. In this case, summing over $\sigma \in \{1, 2\}^2$ in (10) means letting σ take the values $(1, 1)$, $(1, 2)$, $(2, 1)$ and $(2, 2)$. We then gain a factor of 2, because, by symmetry, the terms in (10) corresponding to $(1, 1)$ and $(2, 2)$ are identical and so are the terms corresponding to $(1, 2)$ and $(2, 1)$. Thus (11) yields (4).

In the case $m = 3$, we have

$$J_\sigma = 3^{-1}[\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2]^{-1}[3(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + 5]^{-1} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_{\sigma'_1} + \gamma_{\sigma_2} + 2)\Gamma(\gamma_{\sigma'_2} + \gamma_{\sigma_3} + 2)}{\Gamma(\gamma_{\sigma'_1} + \gamma_{\sigma_m} + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 4)}.$$

So (11) yields (5) using the last equality in (6). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. $\square$

5 Numerical evaluation of the third moment

We shall show that the class of generalized Hermite distributions strictly contains the class of Hermite distributions. More specifically, we show that the class of generalized Rosenblatt distribution strictly contains the class of Rosenblatt distributions. For this purpose, we restrict throughout the variance

$$\mu_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 1,$$

and compute numerically the third moment $M_3(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ as given in Corollary 2.2. Figure 1 displays a contour plot of the third moment $\mu_3(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ in (5).

We shall also fix $\alpha = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$, or equivalently, fix the Hurst index $H = \alpha + 2$, and show that the third moment $M_3(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ does change when γ_1 changes and $\gamma_2 = \alpha - \gamma_1$.

In Tables 1-4 and Figures 1-4, we list and plot the values of

$$M_3(\gamma_1, \alpha - \gamma_1) \text{ against } \gamma_1 \text{ for } H = 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9.$$

Remark 5.1. Due to the symmetry, $M_3(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = M_3(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)$. Recall that $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in (-1, -1/2)$ with $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 > -3/2$. Thus $\alpha = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \in (-3/2, -1)$ and $H = \alpha + 2 \in (1/2, 1)$. In Tables 1-4 we let γ_1 take values from $\alpha/2$ to -0.505 .

Remark 5.2. If $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$, then $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \alpha/2$, and $M_3(\alpha/2, \alpha/2)$ becomes the third moment of the standardized Rosenblatt distribution $Z_{\alpha/2}(1)$ (see (1)). Its values (given in the first column in the tables) coincide with those obtained in Veillette and Taquq (2013). See Table 4 of the supplement of Veillette and Taquq (2013), where they are listed as a function of the parameter $D = 1 - H$.




Figure 1: Contour plot of $\mu_3(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$.
Boundaries are given by the lines $\gamma_1 = -1/2$, $\gamma_2 = -1/2$ and $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = -3/2$.

γ_1	-0.700	-0.678	-0.657	-0.635	-0.613	-0.592	-0.570	-0.548	-0.527	-0.505
$M_3(\gamma_1, \alpha - \gamma_1)$	1.183	1.189	1.206	1.236	1.281	1.340	1.413	1.486	1.488	0.947

Table 1: $M_3(\gamma_1, \alpha - \gamma_1)$ when $\alpha = -1.4$ (or $H = 0.6$).




Figure 2: $M_3(\gamma_1, \alpha - \gamma_1)$ when $\alpha = -1.4$ (or $H = 0.6$).

γ_1	-0.650	-0.634	-0.618	-0.602	-0.586	-0.569	-0.553	-0.537	-0.521	-0.505
$M_3(\gamma_1, \alpha - \gamma_1)$	2.067	2.071	2.082	2.101	2.125	2.149	2.162	2.135	1.972	1.239

Table 2: $M_3(\gamma_1, \alpha - \gamma_1)$ when $\alpha = -1.3$ (or $H = 0.7$).




Figure 3: $M_3(\gamma_1, \alpha - \gamma_1)$ when $\alpha = -1.3$ (or $H = 0.7$).

γ_1	-0.600	-0.589	-0.579	-0.568	-0.558	-0.547	-0.537	-0.526	-0.516	-0.505
$M_3(\gamma_1, \alpha - \gamma_1)$	2.548	2.549	2.554	2.559	2.564	2.561	2.538	2.465	2.258	1.587

Table 3: $M_3(\gamma_1, \alpha - \gamma_1)$ when $\alpha = -1.2$ (or $H = 0.8$).




Figure 4: $M_3(\gamma_1, \alpha - \gamma_1)$ when $\alpha = -1.2$ (or $H = 0.8$).

γ_1	-0.550	-0.545	-0.540	-0.535	-0.530	-0.525	-0.520	-0.515	-0.510	-0.505
$M_3(\gamma_1, \alpha - \gamma_1)$	2.770	2.770	2.770	2.770	2.766	2.755	2.726	2.659	2.505	2.113

Table 4: $M_3(\gamma_1, \alpha - \gamma_1)$ when $\alpha = -1.1$ (or $H = 0.9$).




Figure 5: $M_3(\gamma_1, \alpha - \gamma_1)$ when $\alpha = -1.1$ (or $H = 0.9$).

Since $M_3(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ varies with $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = \alpha$ fixed, we conclude that the class of generalized Hermite distributions is strictly richer than the class of Hermite distributions.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank the referee for noting an error in the original version, and for some other comments leading to the improvement of the paper. This work was partially supported by the NSF grants DMS-1007616 and DMS-1309009 at Boston University.

References

- S. Bai and M. Taqqu. The impact of diagonals of polynomial forms on limit theorems with long memory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1403.7544*, 2014a.
- S. Bai and M.S. Taqqu. Generalized Hermite processes, discrete chaos and limit theorems. *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications*, 124(4):1710–1739, 2014b.
- S. Bai and M.S. Taqqu. Convergence of long-memory discrete k-th order Volterra processes. *Preprint arXiv:1403.1903*, 2014c.
- R.L. Dobrushin and P. Major. Non-central limit theorems for non-linear functional of Gaussian fields. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 50(1):27–52, 1979.
- H. Ho and T. Hsing. Limit theorems for functionals of moving averages. *The Annals of Probability*, 25(4):1636–1669, 1997.
- M. Maejima and C.A. Tudor. Selfsimilar processes with stationary increments in the second Wiener chaos. *Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, 32(1):167–186, 2012.
- T. Mori and H. Oodaira. The law of the iterated logarithm for self-similar processes represented by multiple Wiener integrals. *Probability theory and related fields*, 71(3):367–391, 1986.
- I. Nourdin and G. Peccati. *Normal Approximations With Malliavin Calculus: From Stein’s Method to Universality*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- M. Rosenblatt. Independence and dependence. In *Proc. Fourth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Probab*, volume 2, pages 431–443, 1961.
- D. Surgailis. Zones of attraction of self-similar multiple integrals. *Lithuanian Mathematical Journal*, 22(3):327–340, 1982.
- M.S. Taqqu. Weak convergence to fractional Brownian motion and to the Rosenblatt process. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 31(4):287–302, 1975.
- M.S. Taqqu. Convergence of integrated processes of arbitrary Hermite rank. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 50(1):53–83, 1979.
- C.A. Tudor. *Analysis of Variations for Self-similar Processes: A Stochastic Calculus Approach*. Springer, 2013.
- M.S. Veillette and M.S. Taqqu. Properties and numerical evaluation of the Rosenblatt distribution. *Bernoulli*, 19(3):982–1005, 2013.

Shuyang Bai *bsy9142@bu.edu*
Murad S. Taqqu *murad@bu.edu*
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
111 Cumminton Street
Boston, MA, 02215, US